

Section Changed	Mayor Gatsas	Committee Member Ambrogi	Committee Member Langton	Committee Member Georges	Committee Member Want	Committee Member Freeman	Committee Member Bergeron	Committee Member Terrio	Committee Member Connors	Committee Member Avard	Committee Member Desrochers	Committee Member Van Houten	Committee Member Tessier	Committee Member Girard
1.07		No, I am opposed to this change. We should abide by our decision to become paperless in the interest of conserving resources and taking advantage of technology. I also am opposed to putting the date of the distribution of the packet in our rules, because circumstances arise which make it difficult or impossible for the packet to be ready before Friday, which is perfectly reasonable under those particular circumstances. It does not make sense to make our rules so restrictive that extraordinary circumstances can't be taken into account.	Agree. The distribution of information should be in the format the elected member requests. As for timeliness of delivery, the sooner the packets are delivered the sooner the elected members can ask clarification questions prior to the actual board meetings.		Agree.	Agree. Board Members should not be hamstrung regarding obtaining information. The Agenda should be released in a timely fashion, as to address any concerns, questions, etc that maybe handled prior to the Full Board meeting, thus cutting the length of such meetings. On a personal note, I suffer from Chronic Dry Eye which makes it painful and uncomfortable to view a computer screen for more than ten minutes at a time. If needed, I will supply such documentation from my Ophthalmologist.	1. I do not support the change for a few reasons: a. Consistency: This change could potentially lead to various other requests from current and future BOSC members. b. Respect for the time and effort of the MANSD personnel responsible for meeting preparation c. Respect for all internal and/or external parties looking to correspond with BOSC member(s). i. Requiring any and every person, party, or group representative to utilize varied formats in order to correspond with BOSC members could discourage current and future said communication / correspondence. We must make it convenient for students, teachers, staff, administration, and external individuals and/or parties to communicate with the BOSC. d. In the event a BOSC member opts out of email correspondence given all board members will be provided one, they should be responsible to arrange alternative methods so long as it does not require too much effort from MSD staff.		I believe all members should have email as our district is making efforts to go paperless. I have concerns about the liability involved in sending district employees out to deliver agendas to private homes.					Agree. There are many reasons why someone may want a paper agenda and there are reasons why members may or may not be able to pick theirs up. As to the agenda deadline, the Thursday deadline provides board members with the opportunity to speak with staff on Thursday or Friday during business hours, with Monday as a fall back, in order to get questions asked and answered before the meeting. Agendas that go out late on Friday deprive members of that time. "Extraordinary circumstances" can always be accounted for. For example, the coming meeting's agenda could have been sent today with proposed rules changes sent under separate cover once they were ready. The inability to have them completed prior to the agenda's distribution need not have prevented the entire agenda from being disseminated.
1.07A New		No, I am opposed to this change, as it is not necessary. The clerk posts the information as soon as it is practicable, and it would not be reasonable to expect the clerk to go home and post documents to the website at midnight. No reason to put this rule in.	Agree. Posting approved information after a meeting should require minimal time with technology. I'd like Maura's input.			Agree. Board Members should not be hamstrung regarding obtaining information. The Agenda should be released in a timely fashion, as to address any concerns, questions, etc that maybe handled prior to the Full Board meeting, thus cutting the length of such meetings. On a personal note, I suffer from Chronic Dry Eye which makes it painful and uncomfortable to view a computer screen for more than ten minutes at a time. If needed, I will supply such documentation from my Ophthalmologist.	1. I do not support the change for a few reasons: a. Consistency: This change could potentially lead to varied requests external parties. b. Scrutiny: This has the potential to introduce unnecessary scrutiny to MSD personnel, requiring time and effort to explain accidental omission(s) or additional documentation. c. Respect for the time and effort of the MANSD personnel responsible for meeting preparation d. This change may lead the public to assume we have been neglecting to provide necessary public documents.		I agree with following the RSA, but do not agree with supplying hard copies when they are available online. I also believe the clerk should be given 24 hrs.		I disagree with producing hard copies.			As the person who suggested this change, I understand the concerns raised with the paper copies and have since learned that the personnel decisions are included with the minutes of the board meeting. Therefore, I would ask the proposed rule be amended simply to say "Any and all agenda materials not exempt from public dissemination by RSA 91-A shall be posted online in conjunction with the posting of any board or committee agenda.
1.08A, a		Absolutely opposed to this. The process is already cumbersome and difficult to adhere to - the proposed change would be completely unworkable. The manifest is normally about a foot thick and cannot easily be replicated for board members other than the two who travel to the district office to approve the expenditures.	Sounds like a checks and balance system.		No. This is FAR too cumbersome and I believe that this will prevent the District from being able to do business in an efficient manner. The Board is supposed to set policy not micromanage the District.	Agree. Accountability all the way around.	1. I do not support the change for a few reasons: a. Respect for the time and effort of the MANSD personnel responsible for meeting with BOSC members. b. Respect for the time and effort BOSC members already devote to their role(s) and other committee assignments. c. I do, however, support an initiative to ensure all members of the finance committee are trained and prepared, with confidence, to review and approve MSD's manifest.		Disagree. I believe this falls under the duties of the superintendent as outlined by Ed 302. In addition, the length of the manifest is excessive if it is to be on printed agendas. Each copy of the manifest is several realms of paper.					The initial paragraph of this revised rule is RSA197:23-a(1) and was offered to clear up what appeared to me to be a conflict between the policy and the law. In speaking with Karen DeFrancis, I have learned the practice of the district conforms to the law and while I would prefer to see the statutory language in the policy am okay with it not being changed. Regarding the manifest, it was never my intent to have copies of every invoice attached to the agenda, but to have the listing of checks to be paid attached to the agenda. Karen has advised that isn't a lot of paper, probably 5 to 10 pages.
2.01		OK	Agree		No to the first stipulation, the current set up works well. Call a second meeting only when necessary. Yes, to the second part - we should definitely meet in August to make sure we are ready for the start of the school year.	Agree	2. I am a supporter of consistency to encourage participation from our constituents. a. That being said, I am suggesting we stay consistent with the BMA (with the exception of the day of the rotation (second and fourth, vs. first and third) and day of the week (Monday for BOSC). Special consideration could be made to add a second meeting in August. i. The current Aldermanic rules dictate the following: 1. Regular meetings of the BMA are held in the Aldermanic Chambers located on the 3rd floor of City Hall at 7:30 PM on the first and third Tuesdays of the month, except during the months of June, July, August and September when the regular meetings are held only on the first Tuesday of the month. Public Participation sessions are held at 7:00 PM prior to each Board meeting to allow citizens of Manchester the opportunity to address the Board on items of concern. a. https://www.manchesternh.gov/Mayor-and-Aldermen		Do we want to include a provision for meetings that fall during school break when many families are not around?					Agree, with the exception that the second meetings in July and August should be called by the chair if necessary.
2.02		OK, but opposed to sentence "except by 2/3 majority" and would only vote for this section if this language was removed because special meetings should be restricted to the agenda items for which they were called.	Agree		Agee.	Agree	I concur with Committee Member Ambrogi: "OK, but opposed to sentence "except by 2/3 majority" and would only vote for this section if this language was removed because special meetings should be restricted to the agenda items for which they were called".		OK					Agree. The bar to bring in new business for a special meeting ought to be higher than the current simple majority to ensure the public's Right to Know what business is planned to come before the board isn't minimized.

